1 2 3 4 5 6	Gregg McLean Adam, No. 203436 Jonathan Yank, No. 215495 Gonzalo C. Martinez, No. 231724 Jennifer S. Stoughton, No. 238309 CARROLL, BURDICK & McDONOUGH LLP Attorneys at Law 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415.989.5900 Facsimile: 415.989.0932 Email: gadam@cbmlaw.com jyank@cbmlaw.com	
7 8 9	gmartinez@cbmlaw.com jstoughton@cbmlaw.com  Attorneys for Plaintiff San Jose Police Officers' Association	
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
12		
13	SAN JOSE POLICE OFFICERS'	No.
14	ASSOCIATION, Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF ERIC NAVARRO IN
15	v.	SUPPORT OF SJPOA'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY
16 17	CITY OF SAN JOSE, BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION FOR POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENT RETIREMENT PLAN OF CITY OF SAN JOSE, and	RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
18	DOES 1-10, inclusive,	
19	Defendants.	
20	I, Eric Navarro, declare and say:	
21	1. I was employed by the City of San Jose as a Police Officer from 1993 to	
22	2012. As a result of my prior employment with the City of San Jose, I am familiar with	
23	the facts in this matter, as well as those set forth in this Declaration. If called upon as a	
24	witness, I could and would testify competently to these facts.	
25	2. I submit this declaration in support of the SJPOA's Ex Parte Application	
26	for Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause Regarding Preliminary	
27	Injunction ("Ex Parte Application for TRO").	
28	3. I am married and have two children and another on the way.  CBM-SF\SF552226.3	

**DECLARATION OF ERIC NAVARRO** 

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 4. On June 30, 2010, I jumped a fence in pursuit of a wanted felon. Unfortunately, when I came down on the other side of the fence, I landed on an uneven surface and suffered a compound fracture in my tibia and fibula. I had to be transported to the hospital and underwent emergency surgery to straighten out my leg. I spent a week in the hospital and two weeks later had to undergo a second surgery where they inserted 3 plates and over 30 screws into my foot and ankle. In December 2010, I had to have a third surgery where the doctors performed a bone graft from my knee to my injured ankle. At that point, the doctors determined that I had a staff infection in my injured ankle and I was admitted to the hospital again. When I was released, I had to have antibiotics fed through an intravenous line for eight weeks and then was on a heavy dose of oral antibiotics until June.
- As soon as I recovered enough to start weight bearing activity, I began to try to rehabilitate my ankle. Unfortunately, my foot basically fell apart on the inside and the doctors advised me that my only option was to have my foot and ankle fused together. The doctors then discovered that antibiotics had not killed the staff infection and, in October 2011, I had to have yet another surgery to remove all of the plates and screws in my ankle and had to go through another course of intensive antibiotics. I was scheduled for a fourth surgery in January 2012 and was told that if the staff infection was still present, the doctors would have to amputate my foot. Luckily, the staff infection had cleared up and the doctors were able to fuse my foot and ankle together,
- 6. Due to my injury, my mobility is still extremely limited. I can only stay on my feet for three to four hours a day and walking is difficult. My doctors have advised me that I will never regain full mobility or use of my foot. As a result, in January of this year, I was medically retired from the San Jose Police Department. I currently receive 50% of the compensation I earned as a police officer in retirement disability benefits.
- 7. I have been advised that Measure B will modify disability retirement for San Jose police officers, as detailed below, such that individuals like myself who are injured in the line of duty, may be terminated without any retirement disability benefits. CBM-SF\SF552226.3

In my experience, police work is extremely physically demanding and dangerous and comprehensive disability retirement protection is therefore a crucial employment benefit for police officers. I am a prime example of the type of injury officers face in their day-to-day job.

- 8. Under the City of San Jose's current disability retirement plan, I was deemed disabled because I could no longer perform the duties within my peace officer classification (i.e. the normal duties of a police officer). Under the current system, due to my 19 years of service for San Jose at the time I was disabled, I was entitled to retirement disability payments of 50% of my salary as a police officer. The City explained these rights to me many times throughout my career, starting in the Police Academy, and I have relied on these rights throughout my career to protect my family's financial security should I be injured in the line of duty.
- 9. Measure B, if passed by San Jose electorate, would eviscerate the police disability retirement plan. My understanding is that instead of analyzing whether police officers are able to perform police officer functions, the City will analyze whether they can perform the job functions of any position within the Police Department, including jobs that consist primarily of administrative tasks. If they are found to be physically able to perform the job functions of any position within the Police Department, their disability application will be denied. More troubling, if the job or jobs that they have been found to be able to perform are occupied, they will be terminated from city employment without any retirement benefits. In my experience, the non-police jobs in the department are Alarm Technician (1 positions), Crime Prevention Specialist (5 positions), Latent Fingerprint Examiner (5 positions), and Police Artist (1 position). I do not recall when any of these positions was vacant for any significant period of time.
- 10. If the changes delineated in the prior paragraph are implemented, it will have an immediate and catastrophic impact on any police officer who is rendered disabled in the line of duty. I would personally been financially ruined if I did not have disability retirement benefits because my injury makes it impossible for me to secure CBM-SFNSF552226.3

From:Land Counsel

06/04/2012 12:14

#008 P.005/005

other employment. I have no doubt that my family would have been forced into poverty under the changes proposed through Measure B.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration is executed this 4 day of June, 2012, 500 California.

E Um Eric Navarro

CBM-SF\SF552226.2